ORDER OF REMAND PAGE -1 01 Security Admin., 439 F.3d 1001, 1007 (9th Cir. 2006); Connett v. Barnhart, 340 F.3d 871, 873 (9th Cir. 2003); Frost v. Barnhart, 314 F.3d 359, 367 (9th Cir. 2002). 02 03 Although Edlund v. Massanari is valid precedent in the Ninth Circuit, it does not affect the validity of the Report and Recommendation. In Edlund, vocational expert testimony that did not take a claimant's mental impairment into account was held invalid. 253 F.3d at 1159-05 06 60. Edlund requires that vocational expert testimony, if used, reflect a claimant's mental impairment. It does not require that vocational expert testimony be used in all cases where a 08 claimant has a mental impairment. 09 The Court orders REMAND of the case for further administrative proceedings (2) as described in the Report and Recommendation; and 11 (3) The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to all counsel and to Judge Theiler. 12 DATED this 2nd day of May, 2006. 13 14 United States District Judge 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

ORDER OF REMAND PAGE -2